

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/751,658

Applicant(s)

ANDERSON ET AL.

Examiner

William H. Beisner

Art Unit

1744

All Participants:

(1) William H. Beisner.

(2) Ivan D. Zitkovsky.

Status of Application: Under Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2004

Time: 13:30

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

Claim 97

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Zitkovsk;y was informed that the after final response filed 02 Jan. 2004 had been received and that the terminal disclaimer was being processed by the paralegals. The examiner also inquired about claim 97 which depends from itself. Mr. Zitkovsky informed the examiner that claim 97 should depend from claim 96. The examiner will correct claim 97 by examiner's amendment..